

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.: **8:25-cv-00875-SVW-DFMx**

Date: June 13, 2025

Title: *Julio Cruz v. Mark Bressler et al*Present: The Honorable **STEPHEN V. WILSON, United States District Judge**

Daniel Tamayo

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Appearing

None Appearing

**Proceedings: [In Chambers] Order To Show Cause Re: Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution**

Plaintiff(s) are **ORDERED** to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

The below time period(s) has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff(s) to show cause, in writing, **on or before June 27, 2025**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff(s) response. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 78. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

☒ Defendant(s) **Mark Bressler** did not answer the complaint, yet Plaintiff(s) have failed to request entry of default, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by seeking entry of default or by dismissing the complaint.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**